Exhibit 3

Subject: Re: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses & Document Productions

Date: Friday, October 25, 2024 at 10:45:52 AM Central Daylight Time

From: Seth Hasenour

To: Marshall, Steve, Ashley Ratycz, Abrishami, Danute, melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com, lenovo-uct-

wfg@willkie.com, Diana Arias, Lenovo-UCT-Cov

CC: UCT Counsel, Moore, Steve, Mudd, Jason (SHB), Lee, Josh, cleah@kilpatricktownsend.com,

kkoballa@kilpatricktownsend.com

Attachments: image001.png, image002.png

Counsel,

We have still not received any response regarding our request to meet and confer today. If Lenovo does not provide a date certain by which it will address each of the deficiencies in LGL's supplemental interrogatory responses today, we will have no choice but to raise Lenovo's non-compliance with the Court and seek sanctions in a motion to be filed on Monday.

Regards, Seth

From: "Marshall, Steve" < SMarshall@cov.com>
Date: Thursday, October 24, 2024 at 9:16 AM

To: Ashley Ratycz < <u>ARatycz@bclgpc.com</u>>, "Abrishami, Danute" < <u>dabrishami@cov.com</u>>, "<u>melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>" < <u>melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>, "<u>lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com</u>" < <u>lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com</u>>, Diana Arias < <u>Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>, Lenovo-UCT-Cov < Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com>

Cc: UCT Counsel < <u>UCT_Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com</u>>, Seth Hasenour < <u>shasenour@bclgpc.com</u>>, "Moore, Steve" < <u>smoore@ktslaw.com</u>>, "Mudd, Jason (SHB)" < <u>jmudd@shb.com</u>>, "Lee, Josh" < <u>JLee@ktslaw.com</u>>, "<u>cleah@kilpatricktownsend.com</u>" < <u>cleah@kilpatricktownsend.com</u>>, "<u>kkoballa@kilpatricktownsend.com</u>>

Subject: RE: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses & Document Productions

Ashley,

I've copied the Kilpatrick team. Covington intends to withdraw from the case today.

Thanks, Steve

Steve Marshall

Covington & Burling LLP One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 T +1 202 662 5558 | smarshall@cov.com www.cov.com

COVINGTON

This message is from a law firm and may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this e-mail from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Ashley Ratycz < ARatycz@bclgpc.com > Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 10:11 AM

To: Marshall, Steve < <u>SMarshall@cov.com</u>>; Abrishami, Danute < <u>dabrishami@cov.com</u>>; <u>melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>; <u>lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com</u>; Diana Arias < <u>Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>; Lenovo-UCT-Cov < Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com>

Cc: UCT Counsel < UCT Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com >; Seth Hasenour < shasenour@bclgpc.com >

Subject: Re: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses & Document Productions

[EXTERNAL]

Counsel,

I am following up again on the correspondence below regarding Lenovo's deficient interrogatory responses. UCT intends to raise Lenovo's non-compliance with the Court and seek sanctions. Please provide your availability for a meet and confer tomorrow to discuss.

Best, Ashley

From: Ashley Ratycz < <u>ARatycz@bclgpc.com</u>> **Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2024 at 9:59 AM

To: Marshall, Steve <<u>SMarshall@cov.com</u>>, Abrishami, Danute <<u>dabrishami@cov.com</u>>, , lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com>, lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com>, Diana Arias

<<u>Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>, Lenovo-UCT-Cov <<u>Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com</u>>
Cc: UCT Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com>, Seth Hasenour

<<u>shasenour@bclgpc.com</u>>

Subject: Re: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses &

Document Productions

Counsel,

I am following up on my email below. Please confirm by the EOB today whether and when LGL will be addressing the identified deficiencies. Alternatively, please provide your availability for a meet and confer tomorrow or Thursday to discuss UCT's next steps in obtaining such information.

Best, Ashley

From: Ashley Ratycz < ARatycz@bclgpc.com >

Date: Wednesday, October 16, 2024 at 10:29 AM

To: Marshall, Steve <<u>SMarshall@cov.com</u>>, Abrishami, Danute <<u>dabrishami@cov.com</u>>, melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com, lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com, Diana Arias Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com, Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com

Cc: UCT Counsel < UCT Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com >, Seth Hasenour

<shasenour@bclgpc.com>

Subject: Re: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) - Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses &

Document Productions

Counsel,

We write regarding several deficiencies in LGL's supplemental interrogatory responses, particularly, with respect to the disclosures in Appendix A. Though our investigation and review is ongoing, we have identified at least the following deficiencies:

- Appendix A improperly omits any Intel platform products. We need LGL to identify all the non-Intel supplied components for products that include Intel chips. For example, we need LGL to identify any NVIDIA or TI components in the products regardless of whether the product includes an Intel chip
- 2. Appendix A does not list the "DisplayPort Supplier/Controller" for a lot of products that support DisplayPort and includes "N/A" in certain cells
- 3. Appendix A has some empty cells for PCIe or USB support
- 4. Appendix A has some empty cells for "Project Name"
- 5. For Qualcomm, we need the Qualcomm software Build IDs for each product
- 6. Appendix A "Monitors" Tab has empty cells for almost all the "DisplayPort Controllers" and some of the entries for the "USB/PD Controller" are empty
- 7. Appendix A does not identify the relevant model numbers for AMD and MediaTek

Please confirm that LGL will address these deficiencies as soon as possible.

Best, Ashley

From: Marshall, Steve < SMarshall@cov.com > Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 at 4:21 PM

To: Seth Hasenour < shasenour@bclgpc.com>, Abrishami, Danute

<dabrishami@cov.com>

Cc: melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com <melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com >, Diana Arias <<u>Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>, UCT Counsel <<u>UCT_Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com</u>>, <u>lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com</u>>, Lenovo-UCT-Cov <<u>Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com</u>>

Subject: RE: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses & Document Productions

Case 2:23-cv-00449-JRG Document 85-4 Filed 01/29/25 Page 5 of 6 PageID #: 3568

Seth,

Yes, that's fine.

Thanks, Steve

Steve Marshall

Covington & Burling LLP One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 T +1 202 662 5558 | smarshall@cov.com www.cov.com

COVINGTON

This message is from a law firm and may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this e-mail from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Seth Hasenour <<u>shasenour@bclgpc.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, October 14, 2024 4:00 PM

To: Abrishami, Danute <<u>dabrishami@cov.com</u>>; Marshall, Steve <<u>SMarshall@cov.com</u>> **Cc:** <u>melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>; Diana Arias <<u>Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>; UCT Counsel
<<u>UCT_Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com</u>>; <u>lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com</u>; Lenovo-UCT-Cov <<u>Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com</u>>

Subject: Re: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses & Document Productions

[EXTERNAL]

Hi Steve,

With respect to Lenovo's identification of component model numbers in its supplemental interrogatory responses, please confirm we have Lenovo's permission to disclose the identified model numbers to the counsel retained to handle the respective subpoenas UCT has served (e.g., that we may disclose the identified AMD model numbers to AMD's counsel handling the subpoena to AMD).

Thanks, Seth

From: "Abrishami, Danute" <dabrishami@cov.com>

Date: Friday, October 11, 2024 at 9:46 PM

To: Ashley Ratycz < <u>ARatycz@bclgpc.com</u>>, Brett Cooper < <u>bcooper@bclgpc.com</u>>,

"kurt@truelovelawfirm.com" < kurt@truelovelawfirm.com >, Seth Hasenour

<<u>shasenour@bclgpc.com</u>>, Drew Hollander <<u>dhollander@bclgpc.com</u>>, Jonathan Yim

<jyim@bclgpc.com>

Cc: "melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com" <melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com>, Diana Arias <<u>Diana@gillamsmithlaw.com</u>>, UCT Counsel <<u>UCT_Counsel@bc-lawgroup.com</u>>, "lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com" <lenovo-uct-wfg@willkie.com>, Lenovo-UCT-Cov <<u>Lenovo-UCT-Cov@cov.com</u>>

Subject: UCT v. LGL (2:23-cv-449) – Lenovo's Suppl. Discovery Responses & Document Productions

Counsel:

Attached for service please find Lenovo's supplemental discovery responses and the link below containing document productions in response to the Court's Order (Dkt. No. 44) in Universal Connectivity Technologies Inc. v. Lenovo Group Limited, No. 2:23-cv-449 (E.D. Tex.).

Please note the Appendix 1 attached hereto has been designated as 'CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY' and should be treaded in accordance with the Protective Order.

Document productions are available at: https://covington.kiteworks.com/w/f-d7e1c38f-4a29-4c60-a778-2822ea2e1a86.

- Volume LGL_UCT_002 (containing LGL_UCT_00059320 LGL_UCT_00082842);
- Volume LGL_UCT_003 (containing LGL_UCT_00082843 LGL_UCT_00087740); and
- Volume LGL_UCT_004 (containing LGL_UCT_00087741 LGL UCT 00089564).

The passwords for each .zip file and Appendix 1 will follow by separate email.

We will supplement the document production, Volume LGL_UCT_004, with missing load files next week.

Best regards, Danute

Danute Abrishami

Senior Patent/ITC Case Manager

Covington & Burling LLP One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 T +1 202 662 6573 | dabrishami@cov.com www.cov.com

COVINGTON

This message is from a law firm and may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail that this message has been inadvertently transmitted to you and delete this e-mail from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.